GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19D0-01
(Muzzaffarnagar)

File No. 13

Section II

Serial Nos. 1-10

Papers Filed.

Month September 1908.

SUBJECT.

File relating to the order directing that the Dharmadarsha Nallappa of the Sri Vara Seenivasa Temple at Sibi, Sirsa Taluk, should submit the accounts of receipts & Expenditure of the above Inam annually to the Deputy Commissioner & that he should produce his accounts for inspection or scrutiny by the ~~Head Assistant~~ or other higher officer whenever called upon to do so.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Refer to file for query file 1st 440 of 08 & proceed with 2nd order

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject.
In re the non-application of para 3 of Govt. order no. 2165-74 dated 28th January 1904 to the Sri Narasimha Swamy temple at Sibi in the Sira Taluk. LAST REFERENCE:— ino.

Letter No. 395 dated 3rd December 1904 from The Deputy Commr. Tumkur District.

Flag A

Karnik Nallappa, Dharmakarta of the Sri Narasimha Swamy temple at Sibi in the Sira taluk, presented a petition to the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur Dist. mut stating:—

1) That the temple is an ancient institution;

2) That his great grand father Kristniah was a staunch devotee of the temple during the administration of the Nawab Hyder Alli Khan;

3) That, under the orders of the Nawab Tippu Sultan, the temple and the Inam lands thereof were handed over to the management of his grand father — Nallappa, who executed repairs to it at a large expense;

4) that, according to the Sanad, dated 16th May 1811 (copy of which is herein enclosed) granted to his grand father, and his brothers jointly by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III, the sole management of the temple and its endowments was vested, in hereditary Succession in his family on condition of the regular conduct of Puje and other services therein; (Flag B

5) and that, after the organization of the Inamai Department, when his father — Hara Sappa was called upon by the Taluk authorities to produce the temple accounts and jewels for inspection, a Similar representation was made and the late Chief Commissioner of Mysore issued a Hukum (copy of which is herein enclosed) (Flag C to the Amildar of the Tumkur taluk to the effect that the affairs of the temple should not be interfered with by the — Taluk authorities and that the terms of the Sanad should be Strictly Conformed to;

and praying that Para 3 of Government Proceedings no. 2165-74 dated the 28th January 1904, which lays down that all collections and receipts of all Inamai institutions, should in the first instance be credited to the Treasury and money required in connection (Flag D

with drawn on seperate bills previously sanctioned by competent authority, may not be made applicable in the case of the said temple

The Deputy Commissioner, in reporting on the above recommends — that, though the temple is no doubt a Muzrai institution, being endowed with ~~the~~ Inam Villages, Considering the privileges granted by the Sannad and the late Commissioner's Hukum, the petitioner's contention that he should be allowed to continue the management of the temple affairs appears to be — reasonable —

A communication was made to the Deputy commissioner to obtain the Sannads in original from the petitioner and submit them to this office for inspection —

In reply, that officer reports that, the petitioner having represented that the Sannads were old and in a torn condition, and that he would himself present them before the Muzrai Secretary, he has been directed to do so.

A.V 16:12:04. Submitted for orders.

From the Copy of the Sannad of 16th May 1811 from the late [illegible]

Sri Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur III, it is observed that the management of the temples & their endowments is vested entirely in the hands of the family of Nallappanavaru. His power is not now questioned at all. The Govt. order of the 28th January 1904 was issued with a view to ascertain the total collections of all Muzrai institutions and to the expenditure of moneys upon previous sanctions obtained from competent authority. It is against this that Nallappanavaru complains and desires that the affairs of the temples be may be kept status quo ante. We do not consider such a request reasonable.

In the case of the Subbaya Dass temple in Krishna Vilas Agrahar, Mysore city the Govt. have directed that the production of any accounts need not be insisted upon & that it will be enough if the manager maintains such accounts for any periodical Examn. by the Palace Office

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:-

The orders contained in Government Pro: No. 2165-74 (Muzrai) dated 28th January 1904 referred to moneys received by local Officers of the Government from time to time in connection with Muzrai Institu-

collections should not be kept in the hands of the local Officers and spent on their own authority, but that they should be immediately paid into the Treasury to the credit of the institutions concerned and any expenditure to be incurred therefrom should be carried out by drawing the requisite amounts from the Treasury under proper authority. The Government order in question had no reference to the collections made by private individuals who held lands &c, in connection with temples or other Muzrai institutions under the authority of Sannads. There are a great many institutions of the last named description, particularly Matts, and in the case of such the Government do not interfere in regard to their income or expenditure except on complaints of mismanagement. The printed Order of the 25th January 1904 which has given rise to the present correspondence is, in my humble opinion, very clearly worded and it does not appear from the papers now before Government how the order could have been held by the Amildar to apply to the case of the petitioner who manages the temple at Sibi and enjoys the lands endowed to it, under the authority of Sannads granted to his ancestors.

The above views may be intimated to the petitioner who may be told at the same time that Government have always the power to enquire whether the temple is being properly [illegible] kept in good condition, that he should keep regular

accounts of the receipts and expenditure and that he should submit
ch
for audited returns as may be prescribed from time to time, for
statistical purposes.

A fair draft Order is submitted for approval

Submitted to the Dewan
Thro' the 2nd Councillor

Ramanathan Pillai
27/1/05

241
27.1.05.

The matter
& the duty appearing
may be referred to Mr. -
Ramayyangar
30/1/05

30/1/05

The Dewan has approved
of the draft Issue
3/2/05

448
31/1/05

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continues

Orders were accordingly issued in Govt Sec. no 2844-9 dated 4th February 1905

no. 1015 Dt 28.8.1904

Kannik Nallappa has petitioned Govt with a copy of the order from the Taluk office directing the monthly submission of accounts. The petr prays that he should not be directed to submit accounts to the Taluk office, that if ordered, he will submit accounts to the Distt office. He also prays that the form in which the accounts have to be sent, may be kindly sent to him.

Submitted

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Send the file to the Dy Comm^r of Taxation for his opinion, having regard to the orders already passed by Govt in this case GK 6/9/09

O.J.

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190

(Slip Cr)

Note continued

The Petition was accordingly forward ed to the Dy Commissioner of Dharwar That Officer reports that the petitioner should furnish to the Amildar the necessary statistics in respect of all the institutions held under his management, that in his opinion it is most important that the Taluk Amildar should get the accounts and should know how things are going on in regard to the temples and that there can be no reason whatever to make an exception in this case He further states that the ~~institu~~ tions proper management of the institutions and the inams can be seen to more effectively by the Amildar of the Taluks in which they are situated than by the

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

Dy. Commissioner and adds that the object with which the valuable grants were made might be frustrated if Mr. Nallappa's proposal is accepted.

Submitted for orders.

by Muzrai DS

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:

That the management of the temple and the enjoyment of its endowment should be left unfettered in the hands of Nallappa's family are apparent from the Sanads and subsequent orders. The same by Commr. Mr. K. S. Doraswami Ayr in his former report No. 3275 dt 10th Nov. 1904 stated that 'Considering the privileges secured by the Sanad & the late Commr's Proceedings, Nallappa's contention that he should be allowed to continue the management of the temple affairs

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190

on the same lines as heretofore was reasonable' Accordingly, Govt. in their No: 20 2847-9 dt 7th Feby. 1905 stated in clear terms that they have always the power to enquire whether the temple is being properly managed and is kept in good condition, that Vallappa should keep regular accounts of receipts & expenditure and that he should submit such periodical returns as may be prescribed from time to time for statistical purposes. The above order does not contemplate the submission of monthly accounts by Vallappa, and it is not clear why Mr. Dorasamy Iyer, now requires that Vallappa should submit monthly accounts to the Amildar. I think a strict adherence to the orders of the late

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

Govern and Commr, issued in Pro. No. 2847-9 dy 16th Feb: 1905, is sufficient for the purposes of the present case. The Dy Commr may therefore be informed that periodical statistics may be obtained from Mallapuram and not monthly accounts.

459
8.5.08

Submitted to the 2nd Dy)

8/5/08

Approved

8/5/08

Draft 9/5/08

Draft submitted
AV
18/5/08

Issued
22/5/08

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

(note Continued)

Accordingly in this Office No: 5314 dated 29th May 1908 the Deputy Commr was informed that only periodical Statistics ~~would~~ may be obtained from Nallappa, the manager of the Sri Narasimhaswamy temple at Sibi and not monthly accounts.

No 682 d/27th June 1908. With reference to the above order the Deputy Commr reports that if an Institution does not send its monthly account to the Amildar the Consolidated monthly account of the Taluk will have to be submitted incomplete. For this and for the other reasons already stated in his l: to no 520 dated 28th March 1908 the Dy Commr states that it is necessary that the Amildars should get

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

accounts of all the Institutions in their Taluks and that this will in no way be inconsistent with leaving the management in the hands of a manager like Mr Nallappa. If monthly accounts should not be obtained from Mr Nallappa, the Deputy Commr requests to be informed what else should be done in the matter

[illegible]

Approved

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 28th January 1904

No. 2165-74

Directing that all collections and receipts of the Muzrai Institutions from whatever source they may accrue, must, in the first instance, be credited to the funds of the respective Institutions and that money required for expenditure in connection therewith, should be drawn on separate bills previously sanctioned by competent authority.

CBB—6-2-04—300

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Muzrai, dated 28th January 1904.

No. 2165-74, DATED BANGALOE, 28TH JANUARY 1904.

ORDER.—Instances have come to the notice of Government that the receipts of Muzrai temples, mosques and other places of worship in the State, derived from several sources such as Kanikes, house-rent, fees levied on cattle etc., are not remitted to the Taluk Treasury, either immediately or at the end of the month in which the amounts are received, but are kept back in the personal custody of the local authorities and certain unauthorized charges incurred therefrom.

2. The effect of this procedure has been that the total collections are not known at times and that large amounts are spent by the Amildars, without the previous sanction of Government or the Deputy Commissioner, on works which are sometimes considered unnecessary. This practice is irregular and should be put a stop to at once.

3. In future, all collections and receipts from whatever source they may [illegible] must, in the first instance, be remitted to the Treasury, with a view [illegible] credited to the funds of the Institution, and money required [illegible] connection therewith, should be drawn on separate bills [illegible] by competent authority, such as the Deputy Commissioner or the Government as the case may be.

4. If any money is required for expenditure in connection with cattle fairs when upon the cattle brought for sale, fees are levied for the benefit of a temple, the Amildar may apply through the Deputy Commissioner for a reasonable *advance* (adequate to the expected collections) from the savings of the Institution, which will be sanctioned by Government, subject to reimbursement in due course, from the collections from fees etc. The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore is requested to issue needful instructions on the subject to all the Treasuries in the State, and the Deputy Commissioners are requested to strictly warn the Amildars against incurring any unauthorized expenditure in this direction.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Dy. Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts, with..... .....spare copies for distribution among Amildars and Assistant Commissioners.
The Palace Controller, Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Exd.—C.V.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1842
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

SR 1

1904-05

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 10th November 1904

Despatched 11th November 1904

Received

General No. 325
No.

Enclosure No. 6.

Forwarding for orders the petition of Kannike Siddappa the Manager of the temple of Sri Narasimhaswamy at Sidli, Sira Taluk, praying that para 3 of Govt order No 2165-74 of the 28th January 1904 may not be made applicable in the case of the said temple

81/04

es

Submitted with an office note.
16.12.04. AV

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Tumkur District,

Tumkur, 10th November 1904.

From

K Doraswami Iyer Esqr.

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

L.Anantasami Rao Esqr B A.

Secretary to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja

of Mysore, Muzrai Department

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for orders of Government, the petition with its five enclosures, presented by Karnik Nallappa, the Manager of the temple of Sri Narasimhaswami at Sibi, Sira Taluk, praying that para 3 of the Govt. Pro. No. CI65-74 dated the 28th January 1904, may not be made applicable in the case of this temple, which is under his sole management as per Sannad granted to his forefathers.

2. It will be seen from the copy (herein enclosed) of the Sannad dated the/ 16th May 1811 granted to the petitioners grand-father and his brothers jointly, by H.H the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur, that the sole management of the temple is vested in the family of the petitioner.

3 . When the Muzrai ~~Dept~~ Department was organized in 1854 the petitioner's father was called upon by the Taluk Authorities to produce the temple accounts and the temple jewels for inspection . On a similar representation made by ~~him~~ him at the time, the late Chief Commissioner of Mysore issued a Hukum dated the 16th October 1854(copy herein enclosed) to the Amildar of the Tumkur

Taluk, that the affairs of the temple should not be interfered with by the Taluk Authorities and that the terms of the Sannad should be strictly conformed to .

4. Para 3 of the Proceedings quoted above requires that all collections and receipts of all Muzrai Institutions, from whatever source they may accrue, should , in the first instance be credited to the Treasury, and money required for expenditure in connection therewith drawn on seperate bills previously sanctioned by compentent authority. The temple in question is no doubt a Muzrai Institution, being endowed with Inam Villages, but considering the privileges granted by the Sannad and the late Commissioner's Hukum the Petitioner's contention that he should be allowed to continue the management of the temple affairs on the same lines as heretofore would appear to be reasonable.

Ihave the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

B R Ramakam

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190 Sl 2

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commr
Tumkur Dt

Dated 22nd Novr 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 1781.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to his
letter No 325 dt 10th Nov. 1904
regarding the management
of the Sri Narasimhaswamy
temple at Sibi, Sira Taluk
has the honour to request that
the Original Sanad relating
to the matter may be obtained
from Karisiddappa &
forwarded to this Office for reference

By order

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000-5-10-04.

19/11/04

15/11/04

Secy. Muzr Dept



5/12/04
Rupees

8 & 3

1904-05

From K. Doraiswami Iyer Esqr
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To L. Anantasami Roo Esqr
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maha
Rajah of Mysore, Muzrai
Department

Dated 2nd
Despatched 3rd } December
Received } 1904
General No. 395
No.

Enclosure No. 1

In reply to Muzrai
Secretary's docket No 1781
dated 2nd November 1904, re
questing the forwardal
of the original Sanads
relating to the manage
-ment of the Sri Vara
simhaswami temple at
Sibi, Sira Taluk, the un
-dersigned has the honor
to state that Karanik
Vallappa promises to
personally produce the
original Sanads before
the Muzrai Secretary
for inspection and he

have been directed to do so. The State-
ment of Harwich's Valuation is
herewith forwarded for reference.

For Deputy Commissioner.

Date 1. 12. 1904

Yours faithfully

2nd Asstt Commr

In Deputy Commr

Compared with the original

20/1/05



Compared with the original

20/1/05

copies from an
unauthenticated copy — the original is not
with ... Institute available — 20/11/05

4836
27.6.24

ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ - ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಮೃತಳ ಪತಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಮೃತಳು ಮದುವೆಯಾದ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದೊಳಗೆ ಸಹಜವಲ್ಲದ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೃತಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಮೃತಳ ಸಾವಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಮಂಜಸವಾಗಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಮೃತಳ ಸಾವಿಗೆ ಆರೋಪಿಯೇ ಕಾರಣನೆಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಾಧಾರಗಳಿವೆ. ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಮೃತಳ ಸಾವಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣವಾದ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಮೃತಳ ಸಾವಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣವಾದ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಮೃತಳ ಸಾವಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣನೆಂಬ ಅನುಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಪುಷ್ಟಿ ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತದೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸುಇ 10 ಸಿಎಲ್‌ಎ 2018 (ಭಾಗ-1)
ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಇಲಾಖೆ
ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 10.08.2018

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವ ಕುರಿತು ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಹಲವಾರು ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೂ ಸಹ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯು ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ/ನೌಕರರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಲು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದೆ.

1. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

2. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

3. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

4. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

5. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕಾಲ್ಯಪಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ನಷ್ಟು ಅಂತರದಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಂಪರ್ಕವನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸುವ ಸೇತುವೆಯ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯು
ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯು ಅಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ
ಸದರಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅಂದಾಜು
ಮೊತ್ತ ರೂ. 1.50 ಕೋಟಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ಅನುದಾನದ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ
ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸೇತುವೆಯ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು
ಅಗತ್ಯ ಅನುದಾನವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸೇತುವೆಯ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು
ಅಗತ್ಯ ಅನುದಾನವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸೇತುವೆಯ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು
ಅಗತ್ಯ ಅನುದಾನವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸೇತುವೆಯ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು
ಅಗತ್ಯ ಅನುದಾನವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸೇತುವೆಯ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು
ಅಗತ್ಯ ಅನುದಾನವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸೇತುವೆಯ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು
ಅಗತ್ಯ ಅನುದಾನವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವಾಗ ಅಥವಾ ಮಾರುವಾಗ ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಳತೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹಲವು ವಿಧಗಳಿವೆ. ಉದ್ದವನ್ನು ಅಳೆಯಲು ಮೀಟರ್, ಸೆಂಟಿಮೀಟರ್, ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ಮುಂತಾದ ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅಂತೆಯೇ ತೂಕವನ್ನು ಅಳೆಯಲು ಕಿಲೋಗ್ರಾಂ, ಗ್ರಾಂ, ಮಿಲಿಗ್ರಾಂ ಮುಂತಾದ ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಹಾಲು, ಎಣ್ಣೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ದ್ರವಗಳನ್ನು ಅಳೆಯಲು ಲೀಟರ್, ಮಿಲಿಲೀಟರ್ ಮುಂತಾದ ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ಅಳತೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಳತೆಗಳು ಒಂದಕ್ಕೊಂದು ಸಂಬಂಧ ಹೊಂದಿವೆ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ, 1 ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ = 1000 ಮೀಟರ್, 1 ಕಿಲೋಗ್ರಾಂ = 1000 ಗ್ರಾಂ, 1 ಲೀಟರ್ = 1000 ಮಿಲಿಲೀಟರ್.

ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವಾಗ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಅಳತೆಯನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಮುಖ್ಯ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ, ಒಂದು ಪೆನ್ಸಿಲ್‌ನ ಉದ್ದವನ್ನು ಅಳೆಯಲು ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ಬಳಸುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಅಂತೆಯೇ, ಒಂದು ಲೋಟ ಹಾಲನ್ನು ಅಳೆಯಲು ಕಿಲೋಲೀಟರ್ ಬಳಸುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಸರಿಯಾದ ಅಳತೆಯನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅಳತೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದಿರಬೇಕು.

ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವಾಗ ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯುವುದು ಮುಖ್ಯ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ, 5 ಮೀಟರ್ ಅನ್ನು 5 ಮೀ ಎಂದು ಬರೆಯಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ 5 ಮೀಟರ್ ಅನ್ನು 5 ಮೀ. ಎಂದು ಬರೆಯಬಾರದು. ಅಂತೆಯೇ, 5 ಕಿಲೋಗ್ರಾಂ ಅನ್ನು 5 ಕೆಜಿ ಎಂದು ಬರೆಯಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ 5 ಕಿಲೋಗ್ರಾಂ ಅನ್ನು 5 ಕೆ.ಜಿ. ಎಂದು ಬರೆಯಬಾರದು. ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಲು ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದಿರಬೇಕು.

ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವಾಗ ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿವರ್ತಿಸುವುದು ಮುಖ್ಯ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ, 1 ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ಅನ್ನು ಮೀಟರ್‌ಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತಿಸಲು 1000 ರಿಂದ ಗುಣಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅಂತೆಯೇ, 1 ಕಿಲೋಗ್ರಾಂ ಅನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಂಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತಿಸಲು 1000 ರಿಂದ ಗುಣಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿವರ್ತಿಸಲು ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದಿರಬೇಕು.

ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವಾಗ ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯುವುದು ಮುಖ್ಯ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ, 5 ಮೀಟರ್ ಅನ್ನು 5 ಮೀ ಎಂದು ಬರೆಯಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ 5 ಮೀಟರ್ ಅನ್ನು 5 ಮೀ. ಎಂದು ಬರೆಯಬಾರದು. ಅಂತೆಯೇ, 5 ಕಿಲೋಗ್ರಾಂ ಅನ್ನು 5 ಕೆಜಿ ಎಂದು ಬರೆಯಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ 5 ಕಿಲೋಗ್ರಾಂ ಅನ್ನು 5 ಕೆ.ಜಿ. ಎಂದು ಬರೆಯಬಾರದು. ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಲು ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದಿರಬೇಕು.

ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವಾಗ ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿವರ್ತಿಸುವುದು ಮುಖ್ಯ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ, 1 ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ಅನ್ನು ಮೀಟರ್‌ಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತಿಸಲು 1000 ರಿಂದ ಗುಣಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅಂತೆಯೇ, 1 ಕಿಲೋಗ್ರಾಂ ಅನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಂಗೆ ಪರಿವರ್ತಿಸಲು 1000 ರಿಂದ ಗುಣಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿವರ್ತಿಸಲು ಅಳತೆಯ ಘಟಕಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದಿರಬೇಕು.

ಕೆಳಗಿನವರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಾಗಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

Murpar 814

Read:—

Govt Pro: no 2165–74 d 28th January 1904 directing that all collections and receipts of Muzrai institutions should be first credited to the funds of the respective institutions and that the amounts required for expenditure in connection therewith be drawn on separate bills previously sanctioned by competent authority

And,

Letter no 325 d 10th Nov: 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Canara District forwarding for orders, petition of Karnik Nallappa Manager of the Sri Narasimhaswamy

temple at Sibe Sira Taluk
praying that para 3 of the
Govt Pro. read above may
not be made applicable
to the case of that temple
~~may not be made applicable~~
which is under his to be
management as per Sanad
granted to his forefathers
by H.H. the late Maharaja
Sri Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur III

No. 2847 - 8 Dj 7-12-05
Rev/6. (Pchts & Endt.)

Order thereon

The orders contained in
Govt Pro. No. 2165 74 dt. 28th
January 1904 read above
referred to moneys received
by local Officers of the Govt.
from time to time in connection
with Muzrai Institutions

In regard to these, it was directed that such collections should not be kept in the hands of the local Officers and spent on their own authority — but that they should be immediately paid into the Treasury to the credit of the institutions concerned and any expenditure to be incurred therefrom should be carried out by drawing the requisite amounts from the Treasury under proper authority. — The Govt order in question had no reference to the collections made by private individuals

(Enclosures)

who held lands &c in connection
with temples or other Mussalman
Institutions under the authority
of Samnads. There are a great
many institutions of the
last named description, particularly
Mutts and in the case of such
the Govt do not interfere
in regard to their income or
expenditure except on complaints
of mismanagement. The
printed order of the 25th January
you read above which has
given rise to the present
correspondence is clearly
worded and it is not
explained why the Amildar
of the Sira Taluk made it
applicable to the case of
the petr Nallappa who manages the
temples

temple of Sri Narasimhaswamy at Sibi and enjoys the lands endowed by it, under the authority of Sanads granted to his ancestors.

2. The Govt. direct the Dy. Commr. to intimate the views set forth in the foregoing para to the petr. who should be told at the same time that Govt. have always the power to enquire whether the temple is being properly managed and is kept in good condition, that he should keep regular accounts of the receipts and expenditure

and that he should submit
such
periodical returns as may
be prescribed from time to time
for statistical purposes.

Secretary
Treasury Dept

Submd
May this be printed
yes

1 II. 03

To
The Secretary
Treasury Dept
Returning the letter
Pmd
3/2/05
Comptr to report to

Secretary
Treasury
3/2/05

Submd

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 7th February 1905.

READ—

Government Proceedings No. 2165-74, dated 28th January 1904, directing that all collections and receipts of Muzrai institutions should be first credited to the funds of the respective institutions and that the amounts required for expenditure in connection therewith be drawn on separate bills previously sanctioned by competent authority.

AND—

Letter No. 325, dated 10th November 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, forwarding for orders petition of Karnik Nallappa, Manager of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Sibi, Sira Taluk, praying that para 3 of the Government Proceedings read above may not be applicable to the case of that temple, which is under his sole management as per Sannad granted to his forfeathers, by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur III.

No. 2847-9, DATED BANGALORE, 7TH FEBRUARY 1905.

ORDER THEREON.—The orders contained in Government Proceedings No. 2165-74, dated 28th January 1904, read above referred to moneys received by local officers of the Government from time to time in connection with Muzrai institutions. In regard to these, it was directed that such collections should not be kept in the hands of the local officers and spent on their own authority, but that they should be immediately paid into the Treasury to the credit of the institutions concerned and that any expenditure to be incurred therefrom should be carried out by drawing the requisite amounts from the Treasury under proper authority. The Government order in question had no reference to the collections made by private individuals who held lands, etc., in connection with temples or other Muzrai institutions under the authority of Sannads. There are a great many institutions of the last named description, particularly Matts, and in the case of such, the Government do not interfere in regard to their income or expenditure except on complaints of mismanagement. The printed order of the 25th January 1904 read above, which has given rise to the present correspondence, is clearly worded, and it is not explained why the Amildar of the Sira Taluk made it applicable to the case of the petitioner Nallappa who manages the temple of Sri Narasimhaswami at Sibi and enjoys the lands endowed to it under the authority of Sannads granted to his ancestors.

2. The Government direct the Deputy Commissioner to intimate the views set forth in the foregoing para to the petitioner, who should be told at the same time that Government have always the power to enquire whether the temple is being properly managed and is kept in good condition, that he should keep regular accounts of the receipts and expenditure and that he should submit such periodical returns as may be prescribed from time to time, for statistical purposes.

Sd/-

Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, returning the petition.
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Exd.—G.S.

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 7th February 1905

No. 2847-9
15-1900

Observing that Government Proceedings No. 2165-74, dated 28th January 1904, directing that all collections of Muzrai institutions should be first credited to the funds of the respective institutions, etc., does not apply to the collections made by private individuals who hold lands, etc., in connection with temples or other Muzrai institutions under the authority of Sannads.

SBP—15-2-05—200

Reply complete

1015

28.8.07

Please continue the office note

Dbt as per orders

1619

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy Commr
Tumkur

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Adverting to Govt Order
no 2844-9 dated 4th febry
1905,
has the honour to forward
herewith petition registered
no. 1015 dated 28th August
1901
from Ramik Mallappa,
Manager of the Sri Nara
Simhaswamy temple at

GPB—3,000—21-7-06

Sirsi in the ...

praying that he may be permitted to submit accounts only to the Distt Office.

2) The Dy. Commr is requested to furnish his opinion on the subject, having regard to the ~~foregoing~~ orders already passed by Govt. in this Case.

By order,

DBE 17/9/07

Secretary.

17/9/07

Copy to the Peter

Sl 7

3993
1/4/08

Papers
N/ note
to order
W 3/1/08

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Distt

To The Secretary to Government
Judicial Department

Dated 28th March 1908

Despatched 30th

Received

General No. 520
No.

Enclosure No. ✓

Submitting opinion
re submission of accounts
to the District Office only by Mr
K. Nallappa, manager of
the Sri Narasimhaswamy
Temple at Sibi, Sira Taluk

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Trankur District
Dated the 28th march 1908.

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Trankur District

To.

L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department

Sir,

Referring to your docket no 1529,
Dated 17th September 1907, calling for opinion
on the Petition of K. Vallappa, manager
of the Sri Narasimhaswami Temple at Sibi
praying that he may be permitted to sub-
mit his accounts only to the District Office,
I have the honour to state that the Petitioner
should furnish to the Amildar the neces-
sary statistics in respect of all the Institutions
held under his management. It is most
important in my opinion that the Taluk
Amildar should get the accounts and
should know how things are going on
in regard to the temples. There can be no reason
whatever to make an exception in this case.
That the institutions and the Inams are
properly managed can be seen to more effectively

by the Amildars of the Talukas in which they are situated than by the Deputy Commissioner. The object with which the valuable grants were made might be frustrated if Mr Wallop's proposal is accepted.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
K Ramchandra
for Deputy Commissioner

W.D.

2/-

2 drafts

Draft as per orders

To,

Sl 8

The Dy Commissioner,

Tumkur District

Sir,

23/5/1

P.O. 5314 D/29.5.08

Adverting to your letter no 520 dated 28th March 1908 reporting on the prayer of the manager (Nallappa) of the Sri Narasimha Swamy temple at Sibi in the Sira Taluk, for being permitted to submit accounts only to the Distt Office, I am directed to state that in your former communication no 325 dated 10th Nov 1904 it was stated that "Considering the privileges granted by the Sannad

and the late Commissioner's Hukum, Nallappa's Contention that he should be allowed to continue in the management of the temple affairs on the lines as heretofore is reasonable". The Court agreed in your views and in their Ltr no. 2847-9 dated 4th Febry 1905 states that they have always the power to enquire whether the temple is being properly managed and is kept in good condition, that Nallappa should keep regular accounts of receipts and expenditure and that he should submit

such periodical returns as may be prescribed from time to time for statistical purposes

I am directed to state that the above order does not contemplate the submission of monthly accounts by Vallappa

I am therefore to request that you will be good enough to obtain from Vallappa periodical statistics and not monthly accounts

I have etc

Colls order 22/2/08
Secretary

Endt. to Vall 1/1/09



Refer please
CK Rao
Please continue Nil
W 30/6/08

190 S19

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department

Dated 27th June 1908
Despatched 29th
Received
General No. 682
No.

Enclosure No.

Soliciting instructions re submission of periodical statistics by Mr Wallaffa, manager of the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple at Sibi, Sira Taluk

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Dated 27th June 1908.

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur district

To.

L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Nuzrai Department.

Sir,

Referring to your letter No. 5314,
dated 29th may 1908 in re the submission
of periodical statistics by Mr. Nallappa,
manager of the Sri Lakshmi Nara-
simhaswami temple at Sibi in the
Sira Taluk, I have the honor to point
out that my letter dated 10th November
1904 referred only to the question of
management of the Institution by
Mr. Nallappa while the question now
under correspondence is about the
manager rendering periodical accounts
to Government. The Government order
dated 7th February 1905 directed that
Mr. Nallappa should submit such

Put up

periodical returns as may be prescribed from time to time for statistical purposes. This is in accordance with Government Order No 1278-87 of 20th November 1903 ruling that even in case of Muzrai Institutions not endowed with cash tasdiks but enjoying only land inam (as in the case of the Sibi temple), it should be insisted that monthly accounts of Receipts and Expenditure be furnished to Taluk Amildars. However, the letter under reply states that the Government Order of 7th February 1905 did not contemplate the submission of monthly accounts by Mr Wallaffa and that periodical statistics might be obtained from him and not monthly accounts. What other periodical accounts are meant is not clear. The District Office sends up only the annual and no other accounts to Government, while the Taluk Amildars are submitting their monthly accounts direct to the Muzrai Secretariat. If an Institution does not send its monthly account to the Amildar, the consolidated monthly account of the Taluk will have to be submitted

incomplete. For the reasons already stated in my letter No 520, dated 25th March 1908, it is necessary that the Guildar should get the accounts of all the Institutions in their Taluks. And this will in no way be inconsistent with leaving the management in the hands of a Dharmakarta like Mr Vallappa

As it is stated in the letter under reply that monthly accounts should not be obtained from Mr. Vallappa I request to be informed in the light of the above remarks, what else should be done in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

K Krishnan
for Deputy Commissioner

91

3

In Mysore

Sl 10

Read: — Govt Pro: no 2847-9 dy 7th February 1905 passing orders on the petition of Karnik Nallappa, Manager of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Sira Sira Taluk.

Correspondence ending with Letter no 682 dy 27th June 1908 from the Deputy Commr Tumkur District re soliciting instructions re submission of periodical Statistics by Mr Nallappa manager of the temple

No. 1244 dy 19.9.08

Order thereon

The object of Govt is
to respect the Sanads
&c granted to Nallappas
ancestors and to vest the
management of the Institution
in that family, the Madras
~~Government~~ Department exercising a
general Control over the
Managers - It has already
been decided that monthly
accounts are not to be
called for in this case
The Govt Consider it
sufficient if the Manager
submits an account
& annually to the Deputy
Commr with a brief report
2. ~~The Manager~~ Manager must
be distinctly made to
understand that he

produce his accounts
for inspection or scrutiny
by the Amildar or
other higher officer
whenever called upon
to do so.

H C's orders) 6 16/9/08
for Secretary

To 16/9/08
The Deputy Commr
Tumkur Dt

No. 1815
Collectorate Kallappa